

Entry into and Potential Impacts of Manufactured Nanomaterials in Terrestrial Ecosystems CalRecycle Used Oil/HHW 2015 April 7-10, 2015 Patricia A. Holden, Ph.D. **Professor, Bren School**

University of California, Santa Barbara





ww.visualphotos.com



UC CEIN Predictive and Multi-disciplinary Environmental Nanoscience



Multimedia Analysis

Main Points of this Presentation

- Nanotechnology is here
- Nanomaterials transport / distribute / transform
 - Terrestrial exposures possible
- Potential outcomes:
 - changed ecosystem services
 - trophic transfer
 - effects on food supply
- Moving forward: rapid screening for the environment

Manufactured nanomaterials are used



Nanosilver in textiles

(http://www.nanosysinc.com/#lcd-revolution)



Quantum dots in displays



Nano-carbon in parts

Metal oxides in coatings

Environmental Exposure Pathways



Agriculture

Water Resources

Art by Allison Horst, CEIN Ph.D., as published in Chemical & Engineering News, March 14, 2011

Effluent Concentrations (ug/L)

Biosolids Concentrations (mg/kg)

WWTP effluent and biosolids

(Keller and Lazareva, 2014. ES&T Letters)



Figure 4. Predicted ENM concentrations in San Francisco Bay WWTP effluent and biosolids.

activated sludge in an aeration basin



NMs sorb to bacteria



Nanomaterials in Biosolids



(Kiser et al., ES&T, 2009)

land application of biosolids



Multimedia Model for Los Angeles (http://nanoinfo.org/mendnano/)



complex terrestrial interactions w/ MNMs



(from Holden, Nisbet, Lenihan, Miller, Cherr, Schimel, Gardea-Torresdey. 2013. ACR)

Predictive Terrestrial Ecological Nanotoxicology Holden et al. 2013. Acc. Chem. Res.; Holden et al. 2014. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. **Ecosystem** Hydroponic **Plants Soil Bacterial Communities NMs Microbial** 25 nm Populations SCREENING **Sentinel** Trophic Bacteria Transfer 100 200 300 400 500 600 1600 1200 800 TT

PREDICTIONS

Klanjscek et al. 2012 PLoS One Klanjscek et al. 2013 Ecotoxicology

p://www.nsf.gov/news/news_images.jsp? th id=104178&org=N° 7

Industrial Metal Oxide Nanomaterials

Keller et al. 2010. Environ. Sci. Technol.

proportion

toohniquo

unit



Evonik

/160062000



CeO₂ Meliorum 121008



ZnO Meliorum 121008

properties	teoninque	unit	4100003030	121000	121000
primary size	TEM ^a	nm	27 ± 4	rods: (67 ± 8) × (8 ± 1) (≤10% polyhedra: 8 ± 1 nm)	24 ± 3
particle size in DI water	DLS ^a	nm	194 ± 7	231 ± 16	205 ± 14
phase and structure	XRD ^a		82% anatase and 18% rutile	100% ceria cubic	100% zincite hexagonal
shape/morphology	TEM ^a		semispherical	rods (≤10% Polyhedra)	spheroid
surface area	BET ²	m ² g ⁻¹	51.5	93.8	42.1
IEP	zetaPALS ^a		6.2	7.5	9.2
EPM in 1 mM KCl	zetaPALS ^a	10 ⁻⁸ m² V ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	2.37 ± 0.06	$\textbf{2.19} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	1.83 ± 0.11
purity	TGA ^a	wt.%	98.03	95.14	97.27
moisture content	TGA ^a	wt.%	1.97	4.01	1.61

^a Transmission and scanning electron microscopy (TEM), dynamic light scattering (DLS), X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), isoelectric point (IEP), electrophoretic mobility (EPM), and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were done by the UC-CEIN at UCLA . ² Brunauer-Emmett-Teller analysis (BET) was conducted by Dr. Ponisseril Somasundaran's lab at Columbia University.

Bacterial Population Growth Impacted in Environmentally-Representative Media





R. Vukanti

Microbial Population Growth and Trophic Transfer



Mielke et al. 2013. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.



CdSe QDs: Biomagnification from Bacterial Prey into Protozoan Predator



Werlin et al. 2011. Nat. Nano.

Hydroponic soybean: ZnO and CeO₂ uptake



Lopez-Moreno et al. 2010. J. Ag. & Food Chem.

Hydroponic soybean: nZnO impacts growth; nCeO₂ is genotoxic



Lopez-Moreno et al. 2010. ES&T.

ZnO and TiO₂ Impact Soil Microbial Communities:

٥

0.0

0.5 1.0

 TiO_{2} (mg g⁻¹)

1.5

2.0



Change bacterial community





Incl.: N_2 fixation, C cycling, CH₄ oxidation

25

Potential Ecosystem Effects

0.0

0.5 1.0

 TiO_{2} (mg g⁻¹)

1.5

2.0 2.5

Ge et al. 2012. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.

Ge et al. 2011. ES&T.





Results: Plant Growth







Nano-ZnO: Leaves





Zn Mass %



Hernandez-Viezcas et al. 2013. ACS Nano.



SOYBEAN response to MOx:

- metal uptake
- CeO₂ NPs in beans and leaves
- plant growth affected
- nutritional quality altered



Peralta-Videa et al. 2014. Plant Physiol. Biochem.

Empty nodules $w/o N_2$ fixation potential









Bacterial Interactions with MNMs

- <u>Community biomass & diversity</u> (Ge et al. EST, 2011;AEM, 2012)
 could impact ecosystem function (de Vries et al., 2013, PNAS)
- <u>Nutrient cycling reactions catalyzed by bacteria</u> (as above)
 valuable ecosystem service (Costanza et al., 1997, Nature)
- Transport (Horst et al., 2006, AEM)
- <u>Trophic transfer</u> into food webs (Werlin et al, 2011, Nat. Nanotech.; Mielke et al. 2013, AEM)
- <u>Transformation</u>
 - e.g. if as e⁻ acceptors (Gralnick and Newman, 2007, Mol. Microbiol.)

(Holden, Schimel and Godwin, 2014, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.)

Bacterial HTS System





(Priester et al., Analyst, 2014)

Bacterial Population Growth and Toxicity Mechanism Modeling By Dynamic Energy Budget (DEB)



Klanjscek et al. 2012, PLoS ONE

Klanjscek et al. 2013, Ecotoxicology

(also Holden, Nisbet, Lenihan, Miller, Cherr, Schimel, Gardea-Torresdey. 2013. ACR)

Zebrafish HTS → hazard ranking on 24 MOx's



Lin et al. Small. 2013.

Band Gap and Hydration Energy Explain Bacterial Toxicity Across 24 MOx MNMs



Moving Forward in Predictive Eco-Nanotox

Holden et al. 2013. Acc. Chem. Res.; Holden et al. 2014. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.



Hazard PREDICTIONS

Summary

- Manufactured nanomaterials widely used
- Environmental exposures predicted
- Terrestrial compartments are destinations
- Plants, soil microbes, food, and biogeochemical cycling may be impacted
- Trophic transfer may be initiated
- Tiered approach for screening advocated, with more complex systems tested infrequently
- Predicting desirable
- Efforts are multidisciplinary



Acknowledgments



Researchers and Collaborators:

John Priester, Yuan Ge, Randy Mielke, Rebecca Werlin, Ed Orias, Galen Stucky, Peter Stoimenov, Angela Ivask, Jorge Gardea-Torresdey and his lab group; Allison Horst, Tin Klansjek, Erik Muller, Sharon Walker, Youn Joo An, Shannon Hanna, Arturo Keller, Reid Palmer, Katherine Espinosa, Shelly Cole-Moritz, Hilary Godwin, Aditi Singhal, Binghui Wu, Jeff Gelb, Jose A. Hernandez-Viezcas, Lijuan Zhao, Raja Vukanti, C. Rico, J. R. Peralta-Videa, Jay Nadeau, Lutz Maedler, Patrick Sislian, Won Suh, Andrea Neal, Ivy Ji, Laurie Van De Werfhorst

www.bren.ucsb.edu/facilities/MEIAF/)

And many others in the UC CEIN.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation and the Environmental Protection Agency under Cooperative Agreement Number **DBI-0830117 and DBI-0216480**. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation or the Environmental Protection Agency. This work has not been subjected to EPA review and no official endorsement should be inferred.

Foundational Funding:

UC Toxic Substances Research & Teaching Program NSF: BES-9977772 & DBI-0216480 DOE (DE-FG02-06ER64250) U.S. EPA STAR Program

